Editorial Manager(tm) for Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number:

Title: Upgrade of the Prompt-Gamma Activation Analysis (PGAA) and the Neutron Induced Promptgamma Spectroscopy (NIPS) facilities at the Budapest Research Reactor

Article Type: SI: RadChem-2010

Keywords: prompt gamma activation analysis; neutron induced prompt gamma spectroscopy; low-level counting chamber; sample changer; neutron monitor; in-beam activation

Corresponding Author: Dr. László Szentmiklósi, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: Institute of Isotopes, Hungarian Academy of Sciences

First Author: László Szentmiklósi, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: László Szentmiklósi, Ph.D.; Tamás Belgya, Ph.D.; Zsolt Révay, D.Sc.; Zoltán Kis, Ph.D.

Abstract: The Budapest Research Reactor's Prompt-Gamma Activation Analysis (PGAA) and Neutron Induced Prompt-gamma Spectroscopy (NIPS) facilities were significantly upgraded during the last few years. The higher neutron flux, achieved by the partial replacement and realignment of the neutron guides, made feasible the automation and specialization of the two experimental stations. A new neutron flux monitor, computer-controlled beam shutters and a low-level counting chamber have been put into operation to assist with in-beam activation experiments. An automatic sample changer has been installed at the PGAA station, while the NIPS station was redesigned and upgraded with a Compton suppressor to use for the non-destructive analysis of bulky samples. In the near future the latter setup will be completed with a neutron tomograph and a moving table, to turn it into a Neutron Radiography/Tomography-driven PGAA equipment.

Suggested Reviewers: Jan John Professor, Department of Nuclear Chemistry, Czech Technical University in Prague Jan.John@fjfi.cvut.cz organizer of the 16th Radiochemical Conference

Jan Kucera Associate Professor, Nuclear Physics Institute AS CR kucera@ujf.cas.cz organizer of the 16th Radiochemical Conference

Upgrade of the Prompt-Gamma Activation Analysis (PGAA) and the Neutron Induced Prompt-gamma Spectroscopy (NIPS) facilities at the Budapest Research Reactor

László Szentmiklósi*, Tamás Belgya, Zsolt Révay, Zoltán Kis

Institute of Isotopes, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest, P.O. Box 77, Hungary

* Corresponding author. E-mail: szentm@iki.kfki.hu Tel: +36-1-392-2539 Fax: +36-1-392-2584

Abstract

The Budapest Research Reactor's Prompt-Gamma Activation Analysis (PGAA) and Neutron Induced Prompt-gamma Spectroscopy (NIPS) facilities were significantly upgraded during the last few years. The higher neutron flux, achieved by the partial replacement and realignment of the neutron guides, made feasible the automation and specialization of the two experimental stations. A new neutron flux monitor, computer-controlled beam shutters and a low-level counting chamber have been put into operation to assist with in-beam activation experiments. An automatic sample changer has been installed at the PGAA station, while the NIPS station was redesigned and upgraded with a Compton suppressor to use for the non-destructive analysis of bulky samples. In the near future the latter setup will be completed with a neutron tomograph and a moving table, to turn it into a Neutron Radiography/Tomography-driven PGAA equipment.

Keywords: prompt gamma activation analysis, neutron induced prompt gamma spectroscopy, low-level counting chamber, sample changer, neutron monitor, inbeam activation

Introduction

Since starting its operation in 1996, several major upgrades were accomplished at the Budapest prompt- γ facility in order to improve its performance and productivity. This is in line with the trends at other PGAA facilities [1-3]. From 1996 to 2000 a thermal neutron beam was available at the Budapest Research Reactor with a flux of about 2×10^6 cm⁻²s⁻¹ [4,5]. Following the installation of the cold neutron source at one of the reactor's tangential channels [6] in 2000 and the modifications made in the neutron guide system, the beam flux increased substantially to 3×10^7 cm⁻²s⁻¹. At the end of the neutron guide the rectangular beam was split into two square sub-beams to serve two independent experimental stations. The prompt-gamma activation analysis (PGAA) facility was dedicated to chemical analysis; while the neutron-induced prompt gamma-ray spectroscopy (NIPS) facility was used for advanced nuclear spectroscopic measurements, including γ - γ coincidence studies [7]. This configuration was operational between 2001 and 2006. The most important feature of that system was the exceptionally low beam-background [8], which provided ideal conditions to establish a library of radiative neutron capture gamma-rays for chemical analysis [9]. In 2003 a beam-chopper was added to the PGAA setup for studies on short-lived radionuclides [10-12] produced during the irradiation.

The last significant upgrade started in 2007, when the last section of the neutron guide No. 1 was changed to $2\theta_c$ supermirror elements [13], increasing the thermal equivalent neutron flux to 1.2×10^8 cm⁻²s⁻¹ at the PGAA and 7×10^7 cm⁻²s⁻¹ at the NIPS sample positions, respectively. A new 27-% relative efficiency HPGe detector with an elongated crystal was purchased that fit into the existing PGAA Compton suppressor. New components of the data acquisition electronics were also put into operation. A factor of 20–50 gain in the neutron flux, compared to the performance of the original thermal system, made it possible to significantly reduce the beamtime needed to measure a sample.

It was soon realized that a more efficient way of operation under these new conditions requires a higher level of automation and specialization of the two facilities. This is dictated by the increasing demand from international scientific collaborations (e.g. EU FP6 ANCIENT CHARM [14]), EU facility access programs (such as EU FP7 NMI3, EFNUDAT, CHARISMA), and commercial

measurements. Therefore it was decided to turn the PGAA facility into a fullyautomated measuring system for small samples (powders, slabs, liquids in vials), while NIPS would serve for the non-destructive analysis of bulky objects, including cultural heritage objects and material science samples. The option will be however kept open to perform here diverse experiments with custom setups.

In order to achieve these research aims, several existing components of the system have been replaced and a few new features were recently added. These will be discussed in the paper.

Instrumental

Improvement in the beamline

There is a primary (pneumatic) instrument shutter at the end of the neutron guide No. 1, followed by two (electrically actuated) secondary shutters for the two experimental stations. These secondary shutters have been completely rebuilt. The device is now computer-controlled and uses linear motors to achieve faster opening and closing times (about 350 ms). The shutter plates are coated with two neutron absorber layers (B₄C-loaded rubber plus a Cd sheet). Each plate has a $20 \times 20 \text{ mm}^2$ rectangular aperture to define one of the two sub-beams from the originally $100 \times 25 \text{ mm}^2$ beam spot. Additional ⁶Li-poly collimators and a borated paraffin block are placed into the flight tube downstream to filter the divergent or scattered neutrons before they reach the sample chambers. This will be replaced with a lead block in the near future to better shield against the gamma-radiation propagating along the beamline.

The controller of the beam shutter can be commanded from either of the two data acquisition computers via an Ethernet network. In addition to the standard on-off use, another aim was to use the shutters as a "beam modulator", i.e. to open and close the beam according to a predefined time pattern. This mode of operation is an extension of the chopped-beam PGAA concept [11, 12] towards longer, and not necessarily equal, irradiation and counting periods. The collection of gamma spectra can be synchronized with the status of the beam shutter. Thus – in combination with either conventional MCA event processing or with list-mode

data acquisition – the time dynamics of the growth and decay of radionuclides produced during the irradiation can be better investigated.

Whenever in-beam activation experiments were done so far, a constant incoming beam flux was assumed. In order to further improve the accuracy of our nuclear data and to test the validity of this assumption, a neutron flux monitor has been purchased and installed downstream of the beam shutters. The ORDELA Model 4511 N has an active surface of $110 \times 25 \text{ mm}^2$, and it is filled with a mixture of N₂ and CF₄ gases. Its counting efficiency was chosen to be as low as 10^{-6} , to provide a count rate of about 1000 cps. The monitor TTL output is counted with a NI-6601 PCI counter/timer card, and is displayed and recorded with a timeresolution of one second over the whole 10-day long reactor cycle.

The biological protection for the personnel has also been improved by placing two more shielding blocks of concrete between the beam area and the personnel working area. This was necessary due to the increased dose rate produced by the upgraded beams. In parallel, the measurement cabin has been extended to offer more room for work and sample manipulation. The new layout of the experimental area is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1.

Prompt-gamma activation analysis (PGAA) station

The PGAA station uses the upper of the two neutron beams. A new automatic sample changer has been manufactured that is exchangeable with the existing (manually operated) sample chamber. It has a rotating cylindrical stage 40 cm above the beam with 16 radial slots for the sample frames. A vertical slider moves the selected sample down to the measurement position and removes it after the irradiation. The sample holders can accommodate objects with dimensions of about $70 \times 70 \times 5$ mm³. The whole chamber is lined with two layers of ⁶Li-loaded polymer to keep the beam-background low. This double thickness of the material gives a neutron attenuation factor of about 1000. The lower beam passes undisturbed through the chamber towards the NIPS station, located about 1 m downstream of the PGAA sample position.

A user-friendly facility control program, "*Budapest PGAA Data Acquisition Software*", has been written for manual, semi-automatic, and unattended automatic batch measurements. This program controls the beam shutters, the sample changer and the data acquisition in a Canberra AIM 556 network acquisition module. The stopping condition of the batch run can be preset time or count; whichever is fulfilled earlier stops the measurement. The parameters of the batch run are described in a text file called 'Batch Input Table'. In order to comply with the recent quality management standards, a detailed bookkeeping of the acquisition events and key instrument parameters is implemented.

Neutron-induced prompt gamma spectrometry (NIPS) station

The NIPS facility had a small sample chamber in order to allow coincidence measurements with close sample-to-detector geometry. Now it is being replaced with a dismountable and larger chamber with dimensions of 200×200×200 mm³, similar to one successfully used in our PGAI/NT experiments [14]. The top, the bottom and one side of the chamber are all removable, so that oversized objects can also be easily irradiated here. The redesigned NIPS facility is expected to be completed with a moving table and a neutron tomograph by the end of year 2010, to turn it once again into a PGAI/NT-capable experimental station.

The vacuum system of the NIPS flight tube section is also going to be separated from the upper part, making the operation of the two facilities fully independent. The NIPS detector and the shielding are moved to the other side of the flight tube (relative to the PGAA detector) to allow easier access to the NIPS sample chamber and to reduce the cross-talk between the two facilities.

Both active and passive components of gamma shielding are now employed to achieve a low background at the NIPS station. The active component is a new bismuth germanate (BGO) Compton suppressor. It was designed using extensive Monte Carlo calculations, using the computer program MCNP-CP [15]. The shape of the BGO suppressor was optimized to reach the best peak-to-total ratio in the gamma spectrum, up to 10 MeV. It was concluded that the optimum length of the BGO side element is 170 mm with a well depth of 150 mm and a well diameter of 78 mm. The minimum thickness of the BGO side element is found to be about 40-45 mm, whereas 30 mm is sufficient at the front region, where – due to the nature of Compton scattering – only low-energy gammas have to be detected. The passive shielding is made up using standard lead bricks. The installation of the upgraded NIPS detector system is still in progress.

Low-level counting facility

To better assist the in-beam activation measurements, to perform the offline counting of samples [16] on a routine basis and to enable the measurement of environmental samples with low activities during the reactor shutdown periods, a permanent low-level counting facility (referred as DÖME) has been established (see also in Figure 1), in cooperation with the KFKI Atomic Energy Research Institute. This consists of an iron chamber manufactured from pre-WW2 steel, and therefore is free of any man-made radioactivity. The internal dimensions of the chamber are 800×800×800 mm³, so that a Canberra GR1319 HPGe detector with a Big MAC cryostat can be placed along its horizontal diagonal. This geometry allows sample-to-detector distances up to 250 mm. The wall thickness of the chamber is 155 mm and has a graded shielding inside (Cd and Cu layers). The weight of the chamber and its support exceeds 7 metric tons. As an option, a Canberra Low Energy HPGe detector is also available if the main emphasis is not the detection limits, but low-energy lines are to be measured with a better energy resolution.

Results and Discussion

The intensity profile of the beam after reactor startups

During the first 6 hours following any reactor startup, only a thermal beam is available and its flux increases by about a factor of six when the cold neutron source becomes fully operational. This known time behavior could be recorded now with a proper time resolution, using the recently installed neutron monitor, and is depicted in Figure 2. The curve is in general agreement with the earlier measurements (using the gamma-peak count rate from a Ti foil) [17], but is able to reveal all fine details, e.g. the jumps due to changes in the reactor power. After the steady-state condition is reached, the beam intensity becomes almost constant over longer periods of time, and the monitor counts follow closely the Poisson distribution. For a high-accuracy activation measurement, one can use the pointwise data stream and solve numerically the *Bateman-Rubinson* differential equations at each time bin, rather than using a time-averaged flux.

Figure 2.

Pilot experiment with the programmable beam shutter

To demonstrate the capabilities of the new computer-controlled beam shutter and the concept of the "beam modulation", a pilot experiment has been carried out. A sample containing Al and V was irradiated in nine activation and counting cycles with successively increasing cycle times, from 1 minute to 9 minutes. The gamma-rays from the radiative neutron capture and from the radioactive decay were recorded with the list-mode feature of our XIA Pixie4 digital spectrometer. The events were processed with a home-made computer program implementing the procedure described in Ref. [18]. The measured peak areas of the ²⁸Al and ⁵²V radionuclides are plotted as a function of time in Figure 3, together with the calculated responses based on the activation equations and literature half-lives. A good agreement between the experimental and the expected curves was found that confirms the feasibility of such measurements.

Figure 3.

Background measurements with the low-level counting chamber

It was found that the shielding of the newly established low-level counting facility reduces the energy-integrated γ -ray background by more than two orders of magnitude compared to the room background (211 cps). It is as low as 1.305 ± 0.002 cps while the reactor is on and 1.116 ± 0.002 cps if the reactor is shut down, over the energy range of 7-3150 keV. Only the count rate of the annihilation peak and the level of the continuous background differ significantly (approx. 0.2 cps) between beam-on and beam-off periods. The count rates of the characteristic background peaks are listed in the Table 1. The values for the bare

HPGe detector and for the PGAA Compton-suppressed spectrometer are also given as a comparison:

Table 1.

Conclusions

After the significant upgrade, the Budapest PGAA and NIPS facilities offer improved possibilities for routine element analysis and also for advanced research. The intense neutron beams having no epithermal component, the neutron flux monitor, the computer-controlled beam shutter and the low-level counting chamber make us able to study better the activation and decay of the in-beam produced radionuclides. The new Compton suppressor at the NIPS station makes the spectroscopic conditions similarly favorable to what was previously available only at the PGAA station. Due to the higher level of automation and the rewritten acquisition softwares, the productivity of each experimental station increased substantially. Both facilities will continue to serve national and international collaborations within the EU FP7 NMI3 and many other projects.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the NKTH NAP VENEUS project (contract No. OMFB-00184/2006) for the financial support and Mr. Kálmán Takács for his technical assistance. The collaboration of the Mirrotron Ltd. is acknowledged in the design and production of the beam shutter.

References

- Paul RL, Lindstrom RM, Brocker C, Mackey EA (2008) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 278:697-701
- Kudejova P, Meierhofer G, Zeitelhack K, Jolie J, Schulze R, Türler A, Materna T (2008) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 278:691-695
- Toh Y, Oshima M, Furutaka K, Kimura A, Koizumi M, Hatsukawa Y, Goto J (2008) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 278:703-706

- Molnár GL, Belgya T, Dabolczi L, Fazekas B, Révay Zs, Veres Á, Bikit I, Kis Z, Östör J (1997) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 215: 111-115
- Belgya T, Révay Zs, Fazekas B, Héjja I, Dabolczi L, Molnár GL, Kis Z, Östör J, Kaszás Gy (1997) In: Molnár GL, Belgya T, Révay Zs (Eds.) Proceedings of 9th International Symposium on Capture Gamma-Ray Spectroscopy and Related Topics, Budapest, Hungary, Springer Verlag, Budapest/Berlin/Heidelberg, 826-837
- Rosta L, Belgya T, Cser L, Grosz T, Kaszás Gy, Molnár GL, Révay Zs, Török Gy (1997) Neutron guide system at the Budapest Research Reactor, Physica B 234:1196-1198
- 7. Ember PP, Belgya T, Weil JL, Molnár GL (2002) Appl. Radiat. Isotopes 57:573-577
- 8. Belgya T, Révay Zs, Molnár GL (2005) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 265:181-191
- Révay Zs, Firestone RB, Belgya T, Molnár GL (2004) Catalog and Atlas of Prompt Gamma Rays In: Molnár GL (ed) Handbook of Prompt Gamma Activation Analysis with Neutron Beams, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/New York, 173-364
- Révay Zs, Belgya T, Kasztovszky Zs, Weil JL, Molnár GL (2004) Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 213:385-388
- Révay Zs, Belgya T, Szentmiklósi L, Molnár GL (2005) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 264:277-281
- 12. Szentmiklósi L, Révay Zs, Belgya T (2007) Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 263:90-94
- Révay Zs, Belgya T, Szentmiklósi L, Kis Z (2008) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 278:643-646
- Belgya T, Kis Z, Szentmiklósi L, Kaszkovszky Zs, Festa G, Andreanelli L, de Pascale MP, Pietro-Palolo A, Kudejova P, Schulze R, Materna T and the Ancient Charm Collaboration (2008) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 278:713-718
- 15. Szentmiklósi L, Berlizov AN (2009) Nucl. Instr. Meth. A. 612:122-126
- Szentmiklósi L, Révay Zs, Belgya T, Simonits A, Kis Z (2008) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 278:657-660
- 17. Révay Zs (2005) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 264:283-287
- Szentmiklósi L, Belgya T, Molnár GL, Révay Zs (2007) J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 271:439-445

Table caption:

Table 1. The comparison of the beam-off background conditions inside the DÖME counting chamber with the data for the same bare HPGe detector outside, and also with the PGAA Compton-suppressed spectrometer [5]. (mcps = 10^{-3} cps) The values marked with * could not be reliably evaluated due to a gigantic background bump.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1. The 3D sketch of the Budapest PGAA-NIPS and DÖME facilities.

Fig. 2. The change in the incoming neutron flux at the PGAA station, following the reactor startup.

Fig. 3. The response of the V and Al radionuclides to successive irradiations with increasing cycle times.

Table 1

Energy (keV)	Origin	DÖME bkg	Bare HPGe room bkg	PGAA room bkg
		(mcps)	(mcps)	(mcps)
46.2539(1)	210 Pb(β) 210 Bi [238 U]	8.1	_*	16.4
53.2275(21)	214 Pb(β) 214 Bi [238 U]	0.7	_*	1.3
63.29(2)	234 Th(β^{-}) 234 Pa [238 U]	11.2	_*	9.3
74.9	Pb-X $K_{\alpha 1}$, Bi-X $K_{\alpha 2}$	1.7	327	14.3
77.107	Bi-X $K_{\alpha 1}$	2.3	176	18.3
84.373 (3)	228 Th(α) 224 Rn [232 Th]	1.3	119	2.8
92.38 (1) + 92.80 (2)	234 Th(β^{-}) 234 Pa [238 U]	15.8	207	13.3
143.76 (2)	235 U(α) 231 Th [235 U]	1.3	7	1.2
185.715(5) +	235 U(α) 231 Th [235 U];	5 0	87	5.7
186.211(13)	226 Ra(α) 222 Rn [238 U]	1.3		
238.632 (2)	212 Pb(β^{-}) 212 Bi [232 Th]	2.0	675	3.5
295.997 (3)	214 Pb(β) 214 Bi [238 U]	1.5	112	0.3
352.932 (2)	214 Pb(β) 214 Bi [238 U]	2.8	206	0.7

511 (beam on)	Annihilation	17.6	_	_
511 (beam off)		13.1	342	0.6
583.191 (2)	208 Tl(β ⁻) 208 Pb [232 Th]	0.6	489	0.4
609.312 (7)	²¹⁴ Bi(β ⁻) ²¹⁴ Po [²³⁸ U]	2.0	190	0.6
661.660 (3)	$^{137}Cs(\beta)^{137}Ba$	0.4	47	0.3
767.36 (2)	234m Pa(β) 234 U [238 U]	0.3	17	0.2
911.205 (4)	$^{228}Ac(\beta)^{228}Th[^{232}Th]$	0.4	381	0.7
968.971 (10)	$^{228}Ac(\beta)^{228}Th[^{232}Th]$	0.3	228	0.5
1001.03 (3)	234m Pa(β) 234 U [238 U]	0.3	17	0.5
1120.287 (10)	²¹⁴ Bi(β ⁻) ²¹⁴ Po [²³⁸ U]	0.4	51	0.3
1460.83 (5)	40 K(β^+ +EC)	1.0	590	4.9
1764.494 (14)	²¹⁴ Bi(β ⁻) ²¹⁴ Po [²³⁸ U]	0.3	45	0.5
2614.551 (13)	²⁰⁸ Tl(β ⁻) ²⁰⁸ Pb [²³² Th]; ²¹² Po(α) ²⁰⁸ Pb [²³² Th]	0.6	312	1.1







Copyright Transfer Statement

Publisher: Akadémiai Kiadó Zrt., Budapest, Hungary

The signed Copyright Transfer Statement please return to: http://www.editorialmanager.com/jmc/

REACROR

Author LASZLO SZENTMIKLÓSI Name: Address 29-33 KONKOLY-THEGE STREET, BUDAPEST, KUNGARY E-mail address SENTHOIKI. KEKI. HU

Article information Title UPGRADE OF THE PROMPT-GAMMA ACTIVATION ANALYSIS AND THE NEUTRON-I Journal title: Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry Co-authors: TATLAS BELGTA , ESOLT EEJAT, BOLTAN KIS

@ INDUCED PROTUPT-GAMMA SPECIESCOPY FACILITIES AT THE BUDAPEST RESEARCH

I. Transfer of copyright

By execution of the present Statement Author transfers copyright and assigns exclusively to Publisher all rights, title and interest that Author may have (for the extent transferable) in and to the Article and any revisions or versions thereof, including but not limited to the sole right to print, publish and sell the Article worktwide in all languages and media. Transfer of the above rights is referred to as those of the final and published version of the Article but does not restrict Author to self-archive the preprint version of his/her paper (see Section III).

II. Rights and obligations of Publisher

The Publisher's rights to the Article shall especially include, but shall not be limited to

- ability to publish an electronic version of the Article via the website of the publisher Akademiai Klado, <u>www.akademiai.com</u> (in Hungary), as well as the co-publisher's website, www.SpringerLink.com (outside of Hungary) or any other electronic format or means of electronic distribution provided by or through Akademiai Kiado or Springer from time to time, selling the Article world-wide (through subscriptions. Pay-per-View, single archive sale, etc.)
- transforming to and selling the Article through any electronic format
- publishing the Article in the printed Journals as listed on the official Website of Publisher
- transferring the copyright and the right of use of the Article onto any third party
- translating the Article
- taking measures on behalf of the Author against infringement, inappropriate use of the Article, libel or plagiarism.

Publisher agrees to send the text of the Article to the e-mail address of Author indicated in the present Statement for preview before the first publishing either in paper and/or electronic format (Proof). Author shall return the corrected text of the Article within 2 days to the Publisher. Author shall, however, not make any change to the content of the Article during the First Proof preview.

III. Rights and obligations of Author

The Author declares and warrants that he/she is the exclusive author of the Article - or has the right to represent all co-authors of the Article (see Section IV) - and has not granted any exclusive or non-exclusive right to the Article to any third party prior to the execution of the present Statement and has the right therefore to enter into the present Statement and entitle the Publisher the use of the Article subject to the present Statement. By executing the present Statement Author confirms that the Article is free of plagiarism, and that Author has exercised reasonable care to ensure that it is accurate and, to the best of Author's knowledge, does not contain anything which is libelous, or obscene, or infringes on anyone's copyright, right of privacy, or other rights. The Author expressively acknowledges and accepts that he/she shall be entitled to no royalty (or any other fee) related to any use of the Article subject to the present Statement. The Author further accepts that he/she will not be entitled to dispose of the copyright of the final, published version of the Article or make use of this version of the Article in any manner after the execution of the present Statement. The Author is entitled, however, to self-archive the preprint version of his/her manuscript. The preprint version is the Author's manuscript or the galley proof or the Author's manuscript along with the corrections made in the course of the peer review process. The Author's right to self-archive is irrespective of the format of the preprint (.doc, .tex., .pdf) version and self-archiving includes the free circulation of this file via e-mail or publication of this preprint on the Author's webpage or on the Author's institutional repository with open or restricted access. When self-archiving a paper the Author should clearly declars that the archived file is not the final published version of the paper, he/she should quote the correct citation and enclose a link to the published paper (http://dx.doi.org/[DOI of the Article without brackets]).

IV. Use of third party content as part of the Article

When not indicating any co-authors in the present Statement Author confirms that he/she is the exclusive author of the Article. When indicating coauthors in the present Statement Author declares and warrants that all co-authors have been listed and Author has the exclusive and unlimited right to represent all the co-authors of the Article and to enter into the present Statement on their behalf and as a consequence all declarations made by Author in the present Statement are made in the name of the co-authors as well. Author also confirms that he/she shall hold Publisher harmless of all third-party claims in connection to non-authorized use of the Article by Publisher. Should Author wish to reuse material sourced from third parties such as other copyright holders, publishers, authors, etc. as part of the Article, Author bears responsibility for acquiring and clearing of the third party permissions for such use before submitting the Article to the Publisher for acceptance. Author shall hold Publisher harmless from all third party claims in connection to the unauthorized use of any material under legal protection forming a part of the Article.

V. Other provisions

Subject to the present Statement the Article shall be deemed as first published within the Area of the Hungarian Republic. Therefore the provisions of the Hungarian law, especially the provisions of Act LXXVI of 1999 on Copy Rights shall apply to the rights of the Parties with respect to the Article. For any disputes arising from or in connection with the present Statement Parties agree in the exclusive competence of the Central District Court of Pest or the Capital Court of Budapest respectively.

26 JULY 2010 BUDAPEST Kaul Sheshibles Date and place of signature