# **Object detection for jet physics**

### Georgij Ponimatkin

Joint work with Jana Bielčíková (NPI CAS) and Josef Šivic (DI ENS/INRIA/CIIRC CTU)

### How can Computer Vision help us in solving physics problems?

### Computer Vision and jet physics have a lot of things in common

- In jet physics we usually deal with:

  - With processes that we can't describe using "simple" mathematics
  - Many factors of variation in our data
  - Detectors that are in principle high-speed 3D/2D cameras

### But there are also differences

- In comparison to Computer Vision the following is missing:
  - We don't have access to reliable ground truth for our data
- We can synthesize almost infinite amounts of realistic data

How exactly can we use advancements in Computer Vision for particle physics?

Observables that lie in high-dimensional space and that are highly nonlinear

Our data is usually presented in the form of aggregated statistic (e.g. histogram)

## **Computer Vision Tasks**

### Classification



### Classification + Localization



### Single object

### Object detection



### Instance segmentation



### Cat, Dog, Duck Cat, Dog, Duck

### Multiple object

Credit: Stanford CS231n





## **Machine Learning**

### To use recent advancements in Computer Vision we need Machine Learning Now we shall briefly introduce main ideas of supervised learning

- - Assume that we have an ordered pair  $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)_{i=1}^N$ , which is called dataset
  - Our goal is to construct functional mapping  $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$
  - Assume that we have chosen parametric function
  - We will use loss function  $L(f(x; \theta), y)$  to quantify model performance
  - For example one can use quadratic loss function  $||f(x;\theta) y||_2^2$
  - Our goal is then to find optimal parameters  $\theta^*$ , which are given by

### This parametric function can take many forms

- Linear Model
- Support Vector Machine
- Neural Network

### Further on we will focus on convolutional neural networks (ConvNets)

 $\arg \min L(f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{\Theta}), y)$ 

## **Convolutional Neural Networks**

Neural Network is a parametric function composed of many layers  $F(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{b}) = f_n(\mathbf{h}_{n-1}; \mathbf{W}_n, \mathbf{b}_n) \circ \cdots \circ f_1(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{b}_1)$ 

- Here  $f_i$  is a layer

  - It is then followed by nonlinearity, nowadays usually max(x, 0)
- We then train our neural network using backpropagation algorithm with SGD

  - Then we update our parameters via  $\theta^{t+1} = \theta^t \eta \nabla L(f(\mathbf{x}; \Theta), \mathbf{y})$



It first computes affine transformation/convolution between weights and input

In first we use chain rule to compute derivatives of loss function w.r.t parameters

### **Convolutional Neural Network as a Feature Extractor**



### **Feature resolution**



## **Previous Work on Computer Vision for Jet Physics**

### Previous work was mostly dealing with jet tagging

- Many of the processes were probed using jet image technique, for example:

  - P. T. Komiske et. al, JHEP 01 (2017) 110 quark vs gluon jet discrimination
  - List continues...
- - CMS and ATLAS use recurrent neural network taggers

  - HF jets require secondary vertex information e.t.c.

### Here I'm going to show our efforts towards object detection methods:

- We can use image of the whole event as an input
- Network will implicitly learn rules of anti- $k_T$  clustering

• L. de Oliveira et, al, JHEP 07 (2016) 069 – tagging of boosted  $W^{\pm}$  vs QCD jets

• For the tagging of b/c jets Natural Language Processing models are being used: Previous observables can be desribed using jet-shape observables  $(\eta, \varphi, p_T)$ 

Model can take into account other factors in the event (for example multiplicity)

## **Data Generation Pipeline**

- We simulate our sensor via TH2F histogram modelling  $(\eta, \varphi, p_T)$  space Pythia8 is initialized with HardQCD:all = on and SoftQCD:nonDiffractive = on •  $\hat{p}_T^{min}$  and  $\hat{p}_T^{max}$  are binned so that total jet  $p_T$  distribution is uniform Event is generated and only final-state particles with appropriate cutoffs are accepted • FastJet is used to cluster events with anti- $k_T$  algorithm using R = 0.4 Event and jet masks are then dumped into the TTree Each .root files are then converted into HDF5 file

### For this talk the train/val/test datasets are splitted as 1M/100K/125K events

$$|\eta| < 1$$
  $p_T > 0.2 \text{ GeV}$   $p_T^{jet} > 10 \text{ GeV}$ 

Selection criteria for particles and jets



### Model training

We use Mask R-CNN implementation by Matterport ResNet18 model is used as backend because the data are visually simple Mean pixel value of 0.0022 is subtracted from every image

- Model is trained from scratch

  - We train for 300,000 iterations in total, with model evaluation every 200 iterations
  - Model weights are initialized to orthogonal matrices (A. M. Saxe et. al, 2013)
  - Each training run takes ~30 hours to complete on 1 Nvidia Titan Xp



Initial LR is set to 0.0025 with stepwise decay at predefined points using Momentum SGD

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{cls} + \mathcal{L}_{hhox} + \mathcal{L}_{mask}$ 

## Mask R-CNN - K. He et. al, arXiv:1703.06870 [cs.CV]





### **Problem - Discontinuity in azimuthal coordinate**

Ground Truth and Detections for missed detections GT=green, pred=red, captions: score/IoU



Ground Truth and Detections for missed detections GT=green, pred=red, captions: score/IoU





## Solving azimuthal discontinuity problem

The following procedure was proposed:

- Each image is internally represented by  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{128 \times 128}$
- Masks are represented by  $\mathbf{M} \in \{0, 1\}^{128 \times 128 \times N_{jet}}$
- We take each mask  $\mathbf{M}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{128 \times 128}$ , which represents single jet We extend  $\varphi$  coordinate from  $(0, 2\pi)$  to  $(0, 3\pi)$  for image ( $\mathbb{R}^{128 \times 192}$ ) and mask Using binary dilation operator we enlarge the mask Then the areas of two connected regions are calculated

- - Finally we take AND between biggest region and original mask



## **Efficiency calculation**

- Efficiency calculation is calculated in each  $p_T$  bin as #Found jets/#All jets We also use simplified matching procedure:
- - IoU between ground-truth jet and predicted jet shall be greater than 0.3
- Turns out the algorithm is plagued by the false-positive detections
  - For 114754 jets in 112500 events we get 6000 false-positive jets
- We provide two efficiency calculations:
  - First excludes all events where false-positive jets were detected ("lower bound") Second calculates efficiency of all jets, discarding false-positives



**Red** – predicted jet Green – ground truth jet



## Efficiency dependent on jet transverse momentum



Jet p<sub>T</sub> distribution reconstructed by Mask R-CNN



### **Detection Examples**

Ground Truth and Detections for IoU levels from 1, 0.9, ..., 0 GT=green, pred=red, captions: score/IoU



Ground Truth and Detections for IoU levels from 1, 0.9, ..., 0 GT=green, pred=red, captions: score/IoU



## **Conclusion and Future Work**

We've managed to show that object detection algorithms might be suitable for jet physics Model allows us to identify jets directly from single event image It also implicitly learns rules of anti-kT clustering

- Further studies are needed:
  - Optimal jet matching technique shall be identified
  - Is there a way to increase efficiency of this method?
- Possible applications?
  - applying it directly to the heavy-ion data. What will response look like?
  - **Can we use this algorithm for event selection?**

For example, teaching algorithm how unquenched jet signatures look like and then

