## A multiplicity measurement by ALICE [1]

Helena Hesounová

CTU, September 2021

Helena Hesounová

#### Table of contents

- Measurements of pp collisions
- Multiplicity distributions
- Comparison to previous studies
- Track counting algorithms
- Experimental results and conclusions
- Quantum entanglement

#### Measurments of pp collisions

- 3 event classes
  - INEL
  - NSD
  - INEL>0
- 3 pseudorapidity intervals
  - $|\eta| < 0.5$
  - $|\eta| < 1.0$
  - $|\eta| < 1.5$
- 5 center-of-mass energies
  - $\sqrt{s} = 0.9 \text{ TeV}$ •  $\sqrt{s} = 2.36 \text{ TeV}$ •  $\sqrt{s} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$

• 
$$\sqrt{s} = 7$$
 TeV  
•  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV

- Pseudorapidity density of primary charged particles
- Multiplicity distributions
- Study both hard scattering and soft processes
- $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV and high multiplicity pp collisions
  - Energy densities comparable to Au-Au collisions (RHIC)
  - Volumes orders of magnitudes different

#### Multiplicity distributions

- KNO (Koba-Nielsen-Olesen) scaling: Probability distribution P(n) expressed as a function of the ⟨n⟩
- Sufficiently high energy: asymptotic shape  $P(n) = \frac{1}{\langle n \rangle} \Psi\left(\frac{n}{\langle n \rangle}\right)$
- $\Psi$  expected to be an energy invariant shape
- Violated for INEL at  $\sqrt{s} \approx 50~{
  m GeV}$
- For NSD holds up to  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV
- Fit function for measured multiplicity distributions: single and double NBD (negative binomial distribution)
- From  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  TeV to  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV multiplicity distributions and pseudorapidity densities follow a smooth evolution

#### Comparison to previous studies

- Improved tracking and track counting algorithms
- Improved simulation generators
- Expanded pseudorapidity ranges
- At  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  TeV and  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV better statistical precision (by a factor of 2)
- At  $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$  TeV and  $\sqrt{s} = 8$  TeV first results
- Comparison to results from CMS and UA5
- ATLAS and LHCb use different  $p_T$  and  $|\eta|$  ranges: comparison not possible

### Track counting algorithms

- Tracklet: SPD  $|\eta| < 2$
- ITS+: ITS tracks  $|\eta| < 1.3$
- ITSTPC+: TPC  $|\eta| < 0.9$



[1] Graphical representation of the detector response matrices obtained with PYTHIA6 CSC combined with a simulation of the ALICE detector at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV

#### Experimental results: INEL



- Double NBD is a better fit
- Multiplicity grows with energy

#### Experimental results: INEL



• Discrepancy between NBD and data gets bigger with higher energy

#### Experimental results: NSD



• Single NBD fits the NSD at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  TeV in low multiplicity region

#### Experimental results: Comparison



• Consistent results, with higher precision

#### Experimental results: Comparison to simulations



- Models do not describe data at high multiplicities
- Best description: generators adjusted using first LHC data

Helena Hesounová

ALICE: Multiplicity

#### Experimental results: KNO



- KNO scaling violated for NSD events
- Violation increases with increasing energy and  $|\eta|$

#### Quantum entanglement

- Einstein-Podolski-Rosen paradox: initially connected later separated systems: measurement should have an immeadiate effect
- Parton model: parton is independent for an external probe
- Infinite momentum frame: causally disconnected parton probed by a virtual photon: yet it has to form a color singlet state with the rest of the nucleon
- In contradiction with STR: information travelling faster than the speed of light



• [3]

#### Quantum entanglement - experiment

- Experimental test using data from pp collisions at LHC
- Boltzmann entropy reconstructed from final-state hadrons distributions  $S_h = -\Sigma P(n) ln P(n)$
- Entanglement entropy computed from initiate-state partons  $S_A = ln(xG(x)) = S_B$  at small x where gluons dominate
- Entanglement entropy  $S_B$  gives rise to the final-state entropy
- Tested relation  $S_h = S_B$
- e-p collisions in simulation (PYTHIA6)
- e-p experiment does not cover the needed region  $x < 10^{-3}$
- $\bullet\,$  p-p experimental data in agreement with Q.E. in  $|\eta|<$  0.5, 1.0, 2.0
- Q.E. at subnucleonic scales

# Thank you for your attention

#### Sources

- ALICE Collaboration: Charged-particle multiplicities in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  to 8 TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C, 77:33 (2017)
- ALICE Collaboration, K. Aamodt et al.: Charged-particle multiplicity measurement in proton-proton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  and  $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$  TeV with ALICE, LHC. Eur. Phys. J. C 68, 89–108 (2010)
- Zhoudunming Tu, Dmitri E. Kharzeev, Thomas Ullrich: Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen Paradox and Quantum Entanglement at Subnucleonic Scales, Physical Review Letters 124, 062001 (2020)
- CMS Collaboration, R. Rougny: Charged particle multiplicities at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ ,  $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$  and  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV with the CMS detector at LHC, PoS ICHEP2010, 358 (2010)