



Transformer-based Models for Detector Simulations

Stochastic and Physical Monitoring Systems 2023 Sloup v Čechách

Kristina Jaruskova (FNSPE CTU in Prague and CERN)

Transformers

- Transformer neural network based on attention mechanism
- Typical use case processing sequential data
 - Natural language processing (NLP) translation, text generation state-of-the-art
- Other models used for sequences recurrent networks (RNNs), convolutional networks (CNNs)
- Advantages:
 - $\,\circ\,$ no inductive bias \rightarrow better for modelling long-range dependencies
 - fast to compute (great parallelization)
- Cons: in general, needs more training data to outperform RNNs
- First introduced in "Attention Is All You Need" by A. Vaswani et al. (Google, 2017)
 - English-to-French translation
- Nowadays, many modifications, applied to images and other types of data

Transformers: Original Model

• Encoder-Decoder architecture



Transformers: Original Model

- Encoder-Decoder architecture
- Assume translation from sentence X to sentence Y
- 1. Embedding
 - Pre-trained, dictionary
 - Learnt on the go (dense layers or other)
- 2. Positional encoding
 - Fixed or learnt
- 3. Encoder features
 - Embeddings enriched with a context
- 4. Decoder probabilities over dictionary
 - Choose the best next word

Repeat until <EOS> predicted.



Kikaben.com

Transformers: Original Model



Kikaben.com

Encoder block

- Input: embedded word or output from previous block
 + positional encoding
- Output: feature vector

Encoder block internals

- Multi-head attention
- Residual connections (addition and layer norm)
- Position-wise Feed Forward
 - $\circ~$ Linear layer $\rightarrow~$ ReLU $\rightarrow~$ linear layer

- Translation from sentence X to sentence Y
- After embedding and encoding:

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{X} = (\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_n), \quad \mathbb{Y} = (\vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2, \dots, \vec{y}_m) \\ & \forall i, j : \ \vec{x}_i, \vec{y}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_m} \\ & \vec{k}_1 = \mathbb{W}^K \vec{x}_1 \dots \text{ key} \\ & \vec{q}_1 = \mathbb{W}^Q \vec{y}_1 \dots \text{ query} \\ & \mathbb{W}^K, \mathbb{W}^Q \dots d_k \times d_m \\ & s_{11} = \vec{k}_1^T \vec{q}_1 \dots \text{ score} \end{split}$$

- Translation from sentence *X* to sentence *Y*
- After embedding and encoding:

 $\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{X} = (\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_n), \quad \mathbb{Y} = (\vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2, \dots, \vec{y}_m) \\ &\forall i, j : \ \vec{x}_i, \vec{y}_j \in \mathbb{R}^{d_m} \end{aligned} \\ \vec{k}_1 = \mathbb{W}^K \vec{x}_1 \dots \text{ key} \\ &\vec{q}_1 = \mathbb{W}^Q \vec{y}_1 \dots \text{ query} \\ &\mathbb{W}^K, \mathbb{W}^Q \dots d_k \times d_m \end{aligned}$ $s_{11} = \vec{k}_1^T \vec{q}_1 \dots \text{ score}$

• In a matrix form:

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{K} &= \mathbb{W}^{\mathcal{K}}\mathbb{X} \ \mathbb{Q} &= \mathbb{W}^{Q}\mathbb{Y} \ \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{T}}ec{q}_1 &= ec{s}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

softmax $(\mathbb{K}ec{q}_1) = ec{w}_1 \ ...$ attention weights

June 2023



- Translation from sentence X to sentence Y
 In a matrix form:
- After embedding and encoding:

 $\mathbb{X} = (\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_n), \quad \mathbb{Y} = (\vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2, \dots, \vec{y}_m)$ $\forall i, j : \vec{x}_i, \vec{y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{\mathsf{m}}}$

$$ec{k}_1 = \mathbb{W}^K ec{x}_1 \ ... \ {\sf key} \ ec{q}_1 = \mathbb{W}^Q ec{y}_1 \ ... \ {\sf query} \ \mathbb{W}^K, \mathbb{W}^Q \ ... \ d_{\sf k} imes d_{\sf m}$$

$$s_{11} = ec{k}_1^{ op} ec{q}_1 \ \dots \ ext{score}$$

$$egin{aligned} \mathbb{K} &= \mathbb{W}^{\mathcal{K}}\mathbb{X} \ \mathbb{Q} &= \mathbb{W}^{Q}\mathbb{Y} \ \mathbb{K}^{\mathcal{T}}ec{q}_1 &= ec{s}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$



softmax $(\mathbb{K}\vec{q}_1) = \vec{w}_1 \dots$ attention weights

$$\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{W}^{V}\mathbb{X} \dots$$
 value matrix $\mathbb{V} \dots d_{v} \times n$

$$\mathbb{V}\vec{w}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{1i}\vec{v}_i \quad \dots \text{ attention vector}$$

context of X regarding query \vec{q}_1 (of \vec{y}_1)

Kristina Jaruskova | Transformer-based Models for Detector Simulations



• In matrix form – context of X for all words in Y:

 $\mathbb{K}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{S} = (\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_m) \dots$ score matrix

Attention (
$$\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{V}$$
) = $\mathbb{V} \cdot \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbb{Q}}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \dots d_{\mathsf{v}} \times m$

• In matrix form – context of *X* for all words in *Y*:

$$\mathbb{K}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{S} = (\vec{s_1}, ..., \vec{s_m})$$
 ... score matrix

Attention (
$$\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{V}$$
) = $\mathbb{V} \cdot \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}^{\top} \mathbb{Q}}{\sqrt{d_k}} \right) \dots d_{\mathsf{v}} \times m$

Attention Is All You Need

Multi-Head Attention

• Multi-head attention:

head_i = Attention ($\mathbb{Q}_i, \mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{V}_i$) $\mathbb{Q}_i = \mathbb{W}_i^{\mathsf{Q}}\mathsf{Y}$ $\mathbb{K}_i = \mathbb{W}_i^{\mathsf{K}}\mathsf{X}$ $\mathbb{V}_i = \mathbb{W}_i^{\mathsf{V}}\mathsf{X}$ MultiHead $(Y, X) = \mathbb{W}^{O} \cdot \text{Concat} (\text{head}_1, ..., \text{head}_h)$ Output dim: $d_m \times m$

 \rightarrow i.e. we mix the contextual information gained from the individual heads

$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$

• In matrix form – context of X for all words in Y:

$$\mathbb{K}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{S} = (\vec{s}_1, \dots, \vec{s}_m) \dots \text{ score matrix}$$
Attention $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{V}) = \mathbb{V} \cdot \text{softmax} \left(\frac{\mathbb{K}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbb{Q}}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right) \dots d_{\mathsf{v}} \times m$
MultiHead(X, X)

• Multi-head attention:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{head}_i &= \mathsf{Attention}\left(\mathbb{Q}_i, \mathbb{K}_i, \mathbb{V}_i\right) \\ \mathbb{Q}_i &= \mathbb{W}_i^{\mathsf{Q}}\mathsf{Y} \\ \mathbb{K}_i &= \mathbb{W}_i^{\mathsf{K}}\mathsf{X} \\ \mathbb{V}_i &= \mathbb{W}_i^{\mathsf{V}}\mathsf{X} \end{aligned}$ $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, h\}$

MultiHead $(Y, X) = \mathbb{W}^{O} \cdot \text{Concat} (\text{head}_1, \dots, \text{head}_h)$ Output dim: $d_m \times m$

 \rightarrow i.e. we mix the contextual information gained from the individual heads

Transformer: Performance

- Vision Transformer (ViT) model
- Only encoder part trained for classification task



"An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale" by A. Dosovistkiy et al. (2020)

Calorimeter simulations

- Simulating passage of a particle through a detector
- Calorimeter high granularity, large volume
- Monte Carlo simulations computationally intensive
- Fast simulation based on DL models
 - GANs, VAEs, INNs (Normalizing Flows)
 - MLP, CNN, GNNs







SPMS 2023

Kristina Jaruskova | Transformer-based Models for Detector Simulations

General calorimeter dataset

- RxPhixZ = 10x50x24
- Primary particle energy: 64, 128, 256 GeV
- Entering angle: 70°
- 10 000 samples per energy
- Sparsity: 60 % (mostly empty image)
- Public dataset (<u>Zenodo.org</u>)





Pre-processing and training for image completion

Pre-processing (creating a sequence + embedding)

- Splitting the cylinder into patches
 - Slicing in Phi and Z directions
 - → sequence of 20 patches (600 cells each)
- Flattening of the patch
- Embedding each flattened patch using GCN (Graph Convolutional Network)
- Adding positional encoding to the embedding
 - 2-layer MLP network
- Masking 70 % of the patches (replacing all values with 0s)
- Training transformer-based model to complete the masked patches
- Encoder part of the transformer
- Reshape to original dimensions



Transformer for image completion



SPMS 2023

Kristina Jaruskova | Transformer-based Models for Detector Simulations

June 2023

Transformer for image completion

• Cell energy distribution



Thank you for your attention.

References:

- A. Vaswani et al. Attention Is All You Need (2017)
- A. Dosovistkiy et al. An Image is Worth 16x16 Words: Transformers for Image Recognition at Scale (2020)
- Kikaben.com. Transformer Encoder-Decoder: Let's Understand Then Model Architecture (2021) [online]
- D. Salamani and A. Zaborowska. High Granularity Electromagnetic Calorimeter Shower Images (2022) [online]

Detector simulations

What?

- Simulating passage of a particle through a detector
- Modelling particle interactions with matter
 - Specialized software Geant4
 - Mote Carlo method used to solve the particle transport equations

Why?

- Detector design
- Physics analysis purposes
 - Reference to be compared with the experimental measurements
 - Can simulate known and "new" physics
- Tuning of reconstruction algorithms



Detector simulations

- Monte Carlo simulations are slow!
 - ATLAS experiment simulations take substantial part of resources
 - 1 full event ~ 1 minute (reference)





- Conservative R&D maintain person power, already includes ML and DL development
- Aggressive R&D more people on computing development

Detector simulations

- Calorimeters are the most time consuming part 53 % (1)
 - Large volume, high granularity
 - Electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters
- Search for fast simulation methods ongoing in all experiments
- Deep learning up to 10^6 x speed up (2)
- Current approaches
 - GANs (ATLAS) already in use 100 GANs ? (reference)
 - VAEs (Geant4)
 - Normalizing Flows (DESY?) use links form the calochallenge workshop





Detector simulation

• Full Sim

• Fast Sim

