Study of non-linear evolution of the hadron structure within QCD

Štěpán Mayer

Supervisor: Jan Čepila Consultant: Marek Matas

DUCD24 September 19, 2024

Structure of hadrons

K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ

∍

Structure of hadrons

 \Rightarrow

Deep-inelastic scattering

- Hadrons consist of free, spin-half, point-like *partons*
- Bjorken-x: Fractional momentum carried away by the parton within DIS **ALCOHOL:** 4 重 QQ

Color dipole model

• Fock expansion and taking the simplest fluctuation

Evolution of parton densities

- Parton distribution functions $f_i(x, Q^2)$
- 2 evolution directions
- Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) equation

- No limit on the number of partons with BFKL \rightarrow violates unitarity of PDFs
- New non-linear effect to dominate low-x
- PDFs must saturate at a certain value
- Saturation represents a balance between emission and recombination of partons

- = Field theory model of high-energy limit of QCD
- CGC is used to describe the saturation region
- BFKL \rightarrow Jalilian-Marian-Iancu-McLerran-Wüsthoff-Leonidov-Kovner (JIMWLK) equations
- JIMWLK equations = set of infinite coupled equations \rightarrow no known analytical solution exists

Approximations to JIMWLK

- \bullet Limit of large $N_C \to$ neglect the parts of JIMWLK with $(N_C)^{-\alpha},$ $\alpha > 2$
- We can assume the emission of a gluon to be equivalent to the emission of a color dipole of size *r* ∼ 0

Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equation

- Evolution of dipole scattering amplitudes $N(\vec{r}, Y)$ with rapidity (Bjorken-x) $Y = \ln\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$ $\frac{1}{x}$
- Focussed on impact-parameter independent form

• Due to geometry:
\n
$$
r_2 = \sqrt{r^2 + r_1^2 - 2rr_1 \cos \varphi}
$$

 $+$ m $+$ $+$ \bar{m}

 $x_{\scriptscriptstyle T}$

Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equation

• Impact-parameter independent form at projectile rapidity *Y*:

$$
\frac{\partial N(\vec{r}, Y)}{\partial Y} = \int d^2r_1 K(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)
$$

\n
$$
[N(\vec{r}_1, Y) + N(\vec{r}_2, Y) - N(\vec{r}, Y) - N(\vec{r}_1, Y)N(\vec{r}_2, Y)]
$$

Impact-parameter independent form at target rapidity η :

$$
\frac{\partial N(\vec{r},\eta)}{\partial \eta} = \int d^2r_1 K_{ci}^{\eta}(\vec{r},\vec{r}_1,\vec{r}_2) \n[N(\vec{r}_1,\eta-\delta_{r_1})+N(\vec{r}_2,\eta-\delta_{r_2})-N(\vec{r},\eta)-N(\vec{r}_1,\eta-\delta_{r_1})N(\vec{r}_2,\eta-\delta_{r_2})]
$$

 QQQ

イロト イ押 トイヨ トイヨト

• BFKL kernel with fixed coupling

$$
K_{BFKL}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{\alpha_s N_C}{2\pi^2} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2}
$$

×.

E

←ロト ←何ト

-41 \Rightarrow \rightarrow

Figure: The BFKL kernel with fixed coupling at $\alpha_s = 0, 7, r_1 = 0, 0001$ GeV⁻¹ and different values of φ .

Figure: The BFKL kernel with fixed coupling at $\alpha_s = 0, 7, r_1 = 1$ GeV⁻¹ and different values of φ .

←ロト ←何ト

 QQ

 \rightarrow \equiv \rightarrow

• Kernel incorporating the running of the coupling

$$
K_{rc}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{\alpha_s(r^2)N_C}{2\pi^2} \left[\frac{r^2}{r_1^2r_2^2} + \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_1^2)}{\alpha_s(r_2^2)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_2^2)}{\alpha_s(r_1^2)} - 1 \right) \right]
$$

Figure: The kernel incorporating the running of the coupling with $r_1 = 0,0001$ GeV⁻¹ at different values of φ .

Figure: The kernel incorporating the running of the coupling with $r_1 = 1$ GeV⁻¹ at different values of φ .

K ロ ト K 伺 ト K ヨ ト

• Collinearly improved kernel

$$
K_{ci}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{\overline{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \left[\frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} \left(\frac{r^2}{\min(r_1^2, r_2^2)} \right)^{\overline{\alpha}_s A_1} \frac{J_1(2\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_s |\ln(r_1^2/r^2) \ln(r_2^2/r^2)|})}{\sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_s |\ln(r_1^2/r^2) \ln(r_2^2/r^2)|}} \right]
$$

$$
\overline{\alpha}_s = \frac{N_C}{\pi} \alpha_s(\min(r^2, r_1^2, r_2^2))
$$

э <u>Štěpán Mayer Charles Charles Besearch Task September 19, 2024 17/33</u>

Þ

4 ロ ▶ 4 母 \sim ÷.

-41 **II** ×.

Figure: The collinearly improved kernel with $r_1 = 0,0001$ GeV⁻¹ at different values of φ .

Figure: The collinearly improved kernel with $r_1 = 0, 1$ GeV⁻¹ at different values of φ .

K ロ ト K 伺 ト K ヨ ト

• Collinearly improved kernel at target rapidity

$$
K_{ci}^{\eta}(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2) = \frac{\overline{\alpha}_s}{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{r_1^2 r_2^2} \left(\frac{r^2}{\min(r_1^2, r_2^2)}\right)^{\overline{\alpha}_s A_1}
$$

E

 299

 \equiv

II

◆ ロ ▶ → / 母

Figure: The collinearly improved kernel at target rapidity *K* η *ci* with $r_1 = 0,0001$ GeV⁻¹ at different values of φ .

Figure: The collinearly improved kernel at target rapidity K_{ci}^{η} with r_1 = 0, 1 GeV⁻¹ at different values of φ .

> \rightarrow \equiv \rightarrow ×.

←ロト ←何ト

Initial conditions - GBW model

$$
N_{rc}^{GBW}(\vec{r},Y=0)=1-\text{exp}\left(-\frac{(r^2Q_{S_0}^2)^{\gamma}}{4}\right)
$$

 $\bullet \,\,\,$ With $\gamma=$ 0, 971, $\,Q^2_{\rm s_0}=$ 0, 241 GeV², $\,$ $C=$ 2, 46, $\alpha_0=$ 0, 7

$$
N_{ci}^{GBW}(\vec{r},Y=0)=\left[1-\exp\left(-\frac{(r^2Q_{s_0}^2)^{\gamma}}{4}\right)^p\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

• Where $p = 2,802$, $Q_{s_0} = 0,428$ GeV, $C = 2,358$ and $\alpha_0 = 1$

- 4 E F

∍ QQQ

$$
N_{rc}^{MV}(\vec{r}, Y = 0) = 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{(r^2 Q_{s_0}^2)^{\gamma}}{4} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r\Lambda_{QCD}} + e\right)\right]
$$

 $\bullet \hspace{0.2cm}$ With $\textit{Q}^{2}_{\textit{S}_{0}}=$ 0, 165 GeV² $\gamma=$ 1, 135, $\textit{C}=$ 2, 52 and $\alpha_{0}=$ 0, 7

$$
N_{ci}^{MV}(\vec{r},Y=0)=\left[1-\exp\left(-\left[\frac{r^2Q_{s_0}^2}{4}\overline{\alpha}_s(r^2)\left(1+\ln\left(\frac{\overline{\alpha}_0}{\overline{\alpha}_s(r^2)}\right)\right)\right]^p\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

 $\bullet \,$ Where $\overline{\alpha}_0 = \frac{N_C}{\pi} \alpha_0(r^2)$, $\alpha_0 = 1$, $C = 2,586$ and $p = 0,807$

 QQQ

$$
N(\vec{r},\eta_0)=\left(1-e^{-\left(\frac{r^2Q_0^2}{4}\overline{\alpha}_s(r)\left(1+\ln\frac{\overline{\alpha}_s^{max}}{\overline{\alpha}_s(r)}\right)\right)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}
$$

• With Q_0 = 0.561 GeV, $C = 5,66$, $p = 1,76$ and $\overline{\alpha}_s^{max} = 1$

∍

 299

 \rightarrow \equiv

EXE

Solving the impact-parameter independent BK with Runge-Kutta methods

$$
I_0 \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int dr_1 r_1 K(r,r_1,r_2)
$$

$$
I_1 \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int dr_1 r_1 K(r, r_1, r_2) [N(r_1, Y) + N(r_2(r, r_1, \varphi), Y)]
$$

$$
I_2 \equiv \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int dr_1 r_1 K(r, r_1, r_2) N(r_1, Y) N(r_2(r, r_1, \varphi), Y)
$$

$$
f(Y, N(r, Y)) = I_1 - N(r, Y)I_0 - I_2
$$

$$
N(r, Y+h) = N(r, Y) + hf(N(r, Y)) + \frac{h^2}{2}f(N(r, Y))(I_0 - I_1) - \frac{h^3}{2}f^2(N(r, Y))I_0
$$

э

 QQ

Э×.

- Solved on a logarithmic grid in *r* for 225 points between 10−⁵ GeV−¹ and 10² GeV−¹ (same grid for *r*1)
- Used a linear grid in φ for 11 points between 0 and π
- Step in rapidity of $h = 0,01$
- *N*(*r*, *Y*) from RK
- $N(r_1, Y)$ same as $N(r, Y)$ (same grid)
- *N*(r_2 , *Y*) obtained by interpolation (Lagrange interpolation)

Figure: The resulting dipole scattering amplitudes evolving the GBW initial conditions with K_{BFKI} , showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0$, $Y = 1$, $Y = 5$, $Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

Figure: The resulting dipole scattering amplitudes evolving the MV initial conditions with K_{BFKI} , showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0$, $Y = 1$, $Y = 5$, $Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

1.0

y = 0 y = 1

 QQ

Э×

Figure: Comparing the results of dipole scattering amplitudes evolving different initial conditions GBW (full lines) and MV (dashed lines) with the same kernel *KBFKL*, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0$, $Y = 1$, $Y = 5$, $Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

 10^{-5} $10⁻⁴$ 10^{-3} 10^{-2} 10^{-1} 10⁰ $10¹$ 10^{1} r [GeV 1] 0.0 0.2 0.4 $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$ 0.8 1.0 y = 0 y = 1 y = 5 y = 10 $y = z0$

Figure: The resulting dipole scattering amplitudes evolving the GBW initial conditions with *Krc*, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0$, $Y = 1$, $Y = 5$, $Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

Figure: The resulting dipole scattering amplitudes evolving the MV initial conditions with *Krc*, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0$, $Y = 1$, $Y = 5$, $Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

 \equiv \rightarrow

Figure: Comparing the results of dipole scattering amplitudes evolving different initial conditions GBW (full lines) and MV (dashed lines) with the same kernel *Krc*, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0, Y = 1, Y = 5, Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

Figure: The resulting dipole scattering amplitudes evolving the GBW initial conditions with *Kci*, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0$, $Y = 1$, $Y = 5$, $Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

Figure: The resulting dipole scattering amplitudes evolving the MV initial conditions with *Kci*, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0$, $Y = 1$, $Y = 5$, $Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

 \equiv \rightarrow

Figure: Comparing the results of dipole scattering amplitudes evolving different initial conditions GBW (full lines) and MV (dashed lines) with the same kernel *Kci*, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $Y = 0, Y = 1, Y = 5, Y = 10$ and $Y = 20$.

Figure: The resulting dipole scattering amplitudes for the BK equation at target rapidity, showing the results of evolution for values of rapidity at $\eta = 0$, $\eta = 1$, $\eta = 2$, $\eta = 5$ and $\eta = 10$.

 QQ

4. 17. 18. ∢ 母

- • Deep inelastic scattering
- Saturation and evolution equations
- Balitsky-Kovchegov equation

э

 QQQ

◆ ロ ▶ → 何