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## Motivation

Figure 1: The dynamics of BTC price and returns


## Motivation

Figure 2: The density of returns of Bitcoin


## Motivation and Research Objective

- Returns of financial assets exhibit two stlylized properties: leptokurtic property and heteroskedasticity and normal distribution is not suitable for modeling conditional and unconditional
- Returns of cryptocurrencies are no exception and they rae extremely volatile
- Six alternative distributions have been proposed to deal with the first problems: t-distribution, generalized normal distribution, Normal Inverse Gaussian distribution (NIG), Alpha stable distribution
- Four GARCH models are chosen to deal with the heteroskedasticity problem
- We verify on five most traded cryptocurrencies using their daily price series


## Heavy tail distributions

We choose six tail distributions to model the returns of cryptocurrencies

- Student t-distribution
- Generalized normal distribution (GN)
- Normal Inverse Gaussian distribution (NIG)
- Alpha stable distribution (ALPHA)
- Two-sided Regularized Generalized Gamma distribution (Tran and Kukal, Finance Research Letters) (TSRGG)
- Two-shape parameter Generalized t-distribution (Tran and Kukal, Physica A) (NEW)


## GARCH models

We use four tail GARCH models to model the heteroskedasticity of the returns of cryptocurrencies

- Plain GARCH
- Threshhold GARCH
- Range GARCH
- Exponential GARCH


## Conditional and unconditional returns

- Unconditional returns are modeled by one of the chosen distributions without any additional manipulation with return data
- Conditional returns are jointly modeled with ARMA model for the conditional mean process and GARCH model for the conditional variance process


## ARMA and GARCH model

An ARMA-GARCH model of a random variable representing daily returns of a cryptocurrency $r_{t}$ is a two-part econometric model where the conditional mean process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{t}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} r_{t-1}+\alpha_{2} \varepsilon_{t-1}+\varepsilon_{t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are parameters. The error term (or innovation term) $\varepsilon_{t}$ is often linked to the conditional variance via this assumption

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{t}=z_{t} \sigma_{t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{t} \sim Z(0,1)$ and the conditional variance term $\sigma_{t}^{2}$ follows a $\operatorname{GARCH}(1,1)$ process defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}^{2}=\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} \sigma_{t-1}^{2}+\gamma_{2} \varepsilon_{t-1}^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ are the parameters of the $\operatorname{GARCH}(1,1)$ process which have to be determined

## Data and Descriptive statistics

We select five cryptocurrencies: Bitcoin, Binance coin, Ethereum, Solana, Ripple to verify our approach. Data are converted to logarithmic returns and their descriptive statistics are displayed below

| Characteristic | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | $1.10 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.44 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.33 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| Median | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.25 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.93 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $-5.84 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-8.54 \mathrm{E}-04$ |
| Minimum | -0.434 | -0.572 | -0.563 | -0.548 | -0.550 |
| Maximum | 0.287 | 0.756 | 0.373 | 0.384 | 0.881 |
| 1st quartile | -0.013 | -0.018 | -0.021 | -0.033 | -0.020 |
| 3rd quartile | 0.017 | 0.022 | 0.026 | 0.038 | 0.019 |
| Std. deviation | 0.037 | 0.057 | 0.055 | 0.072 | 0.062 |
| Skewness | -0.609 | 0.868 | -0.270 | -0.264 | 1.962 |
| Kurtosis | 13.082 | 36.720 | 11.023 | 9.220 | 31.349 |
| Num of Obs | 3694 | 2233 | 3101 | 1397 | 3537 |

Parameters of the new distribution are estimated jointly using maximum likelihood estimation method (MLE). The MLE procedure is performed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}=-\underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\arg \min } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln f\left(X_{i} ; \theta\right)=-\underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\arg \min } \ln L(\theta), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta$ is the set of all admissible parameters and $f\left(X_{i} ; \theta\right)$ is the density function of the corresponding distribution. The sign "-" is added so that the minimalization procedure can be applied. The MLE estimator has the following property

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta_{\text {true }}\right) \sim N\left(0, \mathscr{I}^{-1}\right)
$$

where $\mathscr{I}=-\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \ln L}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right]$ is the so called Fisher information matrix.

## Model quality evaluation

The quality of a model is measured by the AIC criterion. The AIC value of a distribution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AIC}=2 k-2 \ln L \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the number of parameters of a model, $\ln L$ is the optimal value of log-likelihood function

| Dist | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | -13788.97 | -4054.65 | -9167.81 | -3392.22 | -9533.80 |
| t | -14964.86 | -7578.55 | -10003.27 | -3644.00 | -11899.12 |
| GN | -15874.19 | -7699.85 | -10775.55 | -3970.04 | -12453.58 |
| NIG | -15031.16 | -7537.20 | -10048.03 | -3648.90 | -11930.03 |
| ALPHA | -14875.98 | -7557.25 | -9938.28 | -3629.33 | -11851.29 |
| NEW | -15063.05 | -7585.44 | -10061.48 | -3644.49 | -11922.51 |
| TSRGG | -15070.46 | -7524.28 | -10062.69 | -3643.18 | -11920.21 |

## Distributions of returns of Bitcoin - Comparison



## Distributions of returns of Bitcoin - Left tails



| Dist | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | -14575.17 | -6547.13 | -9985.73 | -3661.06 | -11651.48 |
| t | -14999.13 | -7925.67 | -10221.08 | -3716.07 | -12203.75 |
| GN | -15518.08 | -8146.16 | -10577.59 | -3817.44 | -12772.95 |
| NIG | -15329.79 | -7891.69 | -10384.93 | -3736.67 | -12341.17 |
| ALPHA | -14451.33 | -7662.66 | -9785.58 | -3527.07 | -11857.88 |
| NEW | -15391.92 | -8036.40 | -10414.37 | -3764.71 | -12435.36 |
| TSRGG | -15416.64 | -7889.07 | -10415.73 | -3723.03 | -12446.66 |


| Dist | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | -15615.24 | -7695.70 | -10555.74 | -3750.52 | -11021.53 |
| t | -16476.44 | -7987.97 | -11006.06 | -3794.81 | -12222.68 |
| GN | -16914.87 | -8225.32 | -11323.59 | -3882.41 | -12615.53 |
| NIG | -16804.46 | -8064.44 | -11174.42 | -3820.18 | -12512.50 |
| ALPHA | -15903.92 | -7687.25 | -10565.71 | -3598.52 | -11757.29 |
| NEW | -16763.90 | -8095.37 | -11161.09 | -3842.56 | -12580.99 |
| TSRGG | -16747.96 | -8085.75 | -11155.85 | -3841.99 | -12558.82 |


| Dist | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | 14572.39 | 6192.28 | 9983.73 | 3659.22 | 11649.48 |
| t | 15159.53 | 7959.24 | 10304.02 | 3735.73 | 12326.65 |
| GN | 15898.76 | 8305.73 | 10906.18 | 3968.98 | 13055.93 |
| NIG | 15336.01 | 7890.03 | 10387.33 | 3742.92 | 12365.32 |
| ALPHA | 14893.70 | 7829.57 | 10103.50 | 3659.73 | 12145.31 |
| NEW | 15370.87 | 8026.45 | 10396.17 | 3762.17 | 12437.14 |
| TSRGG | 15414.64 | 7962.81 | 10424.61 | 3760.67 | 12452.50 |


| Dist | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | 14572.39 | 6192.28 | 9983.73 | 3659.22 | 11649.48 |
| t | 15159.53 | 7959.24 | 10304.02 | 3735.73 | 12326.65 |
| GN | 15898.76 | 8305.73 | 10906.18 | 3968.98 | 13055.93 |
| NIG | 15336.01 | 7890.03 | 10387.33 | 3742.92 | 12365.32 |
| ALPHA | 14893.70 | 7829.57 | 10103.50 | 3659.73 | 12145.31 |
| NEW | 15370.87 | 8026.45 | 10396.17 | 3762.17 | 12437.14 |
| TSRGG | 15414.64 | 7962.81 | 10424.61 | 3760.67 | 12452.50 |


| Model | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unconditional | -15874.19 | -7699.85 | -10775.55 | -3970.04 | -12453.58 |
| GARCH | -15518.08 | -8146.16 | -10577.59 | -3817.44 | -12772.95 |
| RGARCH | -16914.87 | -8225.32 | -11323.59 | -3882.41 | -12615.53 |
| TGARCH | -15898.76 | -8305.73 | -10906.18 | -3968.98 | -13055.93 |
| EGARCH | -16358.44 | -8508.29 | -11211.44 | -4090.62 | -13317.84 |

## Extension: The Range-Exponential GARCH Model

The Range-Exponential GARCH process is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\sigma_{t}^{2}\right)= & \gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1} \log \left(\sigma_{t-1}^{2}\right)+\gamma_{2} \frac{\varepsilon_{t-1}}{\sigma_{t-1}}+\gamma_{3}\left|\frac{\varepsilon_{t-1}}{\sigma_{t-1}}\right| \\
& +\gamma_{4} \log \left(\sigma_{p, i-1}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p, t}^{2}=\frac{\left[\log \left(H_{t} / L_{t}\right)\right]^{2}}{4 \log 2}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{t}$ and $L_{t}$ are the highest and lowest price of an asset in a day, respectively.

## Values of AIC criterion of Range-Exponential GARCH

| Distr. | Bitcoin | B2B | Ethereum | Solana | Ripple |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Normal | -13950.45 | -8287.67 | -8185.39 | -4063.95 | -7444.34 |
| t | -14973.96 | -8719.32 | -8662.31 | -4190.29 | -8569.84 |
| GN | -15792.01 | -9298.23 | -9232.21 | -4520.55 | -9041.05 |
| NIG | -15029.41 | -8701.45 | -8681.16 | -4179.26 | -8534.53 |
| ALPHA | -14956.88 | -8719.47 | -8657.83 | -4215.62 | -8582.40 |
| NEW | -14991.88 | -8728.03 | -8668.31 | -4182.21 | -8570.13 |
| TSRGG | -14972.89 | -8721.21 | -8657.21 | -4183.45 | -8556.21 |

## Conclusion

- For each cryptocurrency of the five ones Bitcoin, Binance coin, Ethereum, Solana, and Ripple, the most appropriate distribution is generalized normal distribution.
- This conclusion remains the same no matter whether we consider unconditional, or conditional distribution, and in case of conditional distribution, no matter which volatility model we use
- Among the four volatility models we tested, the EGARCH model is one of those which best fits for modeling returns of cryptocurrencies while in some cases the range GARCH model is also fairly good, but it is not as reliable as the previous one.
- Extension: R-GARCH and EGARCH models can be combined and the combination is a better alternative of both individual versions


## Thank you for your attention!

