



17th Radiochemical Conference

11 – 16th May 2014, Mariánské Lázně, Czech Republic


c/o Department of Nuclear Chemistry, Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 7, 115 19 Prague 1, Czech Republic

Dear Colleague,

The Organising Committee of the 16th Radiochemical Conference appreciates your kind co-operation in reviewing the papers submitted for publication in the Conference Proceedings (Select Papers) in the Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry (JRNC). You will get a printed manuscript at the Conference (if available), but then the whole reviewing process will be managed electronically. You will get access to manuscripts that have been assigned to you for the review on the INDICO web page. Then you can download the particular manuscripts (see instructions below). To facilitate your task, we have developed an evaluation form which is enclosed. We would be grateful if you could answer the indicated questions in the evaluation form, send a scanned copy of the form to the respective session organiser, write additional comments to the authors (if any) on a separate sheet and upload this sheet to the INDICO web page (see instructions below) and send it also to the session organiser. In the case that either minor or major revisions are needed, the manuscript will be sent back to author(s) together with your comments, your anonymity being preserved. We would like to encourage you to correct also English errors in the manuscript, especially as regards English formulations that could cause scientific misunderstanding. However, papers with very poor English should be classified for major revision with recommendations to authors to have them corrected by a native speaker. We would appreciate if you strictly stick to the JRNC standards in your reviews to help us in selecting a maximum of 75 worthy/best papers allotted for publication in the conference proceedings. The final decision whether or not to publish a manuscript will be taken by the Editor-in-Chief of JRNC. The deadline for returning of the review is 30th June 2014. To be able to publish the Conference proceedings timely, we kindly ask you to adhere to this deadline. We thank you very much for your help.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,


Jan John
General Chairman


Jan Kučera
Chairman, Editorial Board

1. Is the abstract and title informative	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	No	Partly	OK
2. Originality and/or novelty of concept or approach	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	None	Some	Superior
3. Applicability and usability	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Useless	Conditional	Significant
4. Method calibrated and validated (when appropriate)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Neither	No validation	Both OK
5. Accuracy and soundness of conclusions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Poor	Satisfactory	Excellent
6. Clarity and overall length*	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Poor	Wordy	OK
7. Quality and quantity of references	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Inadequate	Missing some	OK
8. Tables	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Too many	Missing some	OK
9. Figures	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Too many	Missing some	OK
10. Are figures of high quality for reproduction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No		OK

* The maximum length of a contributed or plenary/invited paper is 20.000 or 30.000 characters (including spaces), respectively, including tables and references, plus a reasonable number of figures.

N.B. One normalised page (30 lines per 60 characters including spaces) corresponds to 1.800 characters.

Overall recommendation:

- Excellent paper
 Very good paper
 Fair paper
 Unacceptable paper

Revisions needed:

- No revisions
 Minor revisions
 Major revisions
 To be rejected

Comments to the editors (additional comments to the authors may be attached on a separate unsigned sheet): A good paper. Some small language issues have to be corrected and I think also the reasoning of what happens to the eluted complexes in vivo/after elution have to be added. What is novel in this work and what comes from previous works should also to be clarified. Otherwise suitable for publication.

Date and signature: 2014-06-25

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'E. O. M.', written over a dotted line.

Instructions for downloading the manuscripts:

- 1) Log in the INDICO web page of the 17th Radiochemical Conference, go to the Contribution list.
- 2) In the row of assigned manuscript ID, click on an icon in the utmost right column (Files), which indicates that the manuscript has been uploaded. Alternatively you may click on the manuscript title and then on Materials at the bottom of the page.

Instructions for uploading the comments to the authors

- 1) Log in the INDICO web page of the 17th Radiochemical Conference, go to My registration direct access.
- 2) Click on the title of the manuscript assigned for review, click on „Add material“ located close to the page bottom and follow the instructions.