



Contribution ID: 166

Type: Verbal

## Unraveling the reaction rate conventions for use in the $k_0$ standardization of NAA

*Tuesday, 13 May 2014 15:30 (15 minutes)*

The majority of developers and users of the NAA  $k_0$  standardization do not realize that this method was launched [1] in terms of the accurate and generally applicable Stoughton-Halperin convention [2] for the description of the  $(n,\gamma)$  reaction rate. Later on, in the article reporting on the first  $k_0$ -measurements [3], the more practical Høgdahl convention [4] was introduced instead (as had been done in earlier work on  $k$ -factors [5]), which was modified to account for a non-ideal (assumed  $1/E^{1+\alpha}$ ) epithermal neutron flux distribution thereby introducing Ryves' concept of the effective resonance energy [6]. This resulted in a user-friendly protocol, which however ruled out some five  $(n,\gamma)$  reactions with a significant deviation from the ideal  $1/v$  cross section dependence in the thermal neutron energy region. Although in the course of the years it was argued [7] that only a few excluded cases did not justify the changeover from a simple convention like Høgdahl's to a more sophisticated one, finally the rigorously accurate Westcott convention [8] –with introduction of the  $\alpha$  epithermal shape factor - was put forward to incorporate the non- $1/v$  cases in the  $k_0$  standardization methodology [9]. Obviously, this turned out to be too complex and impractical for a good deal of the  $k_0$ -NAA community, notably in the context of the Kayzero software [10] where use is made of an intermediate comparator factor defined in terms of the Høgdahl convention. Therefore, recently the “extended Høgdahl convention” [11] was launched, which takes into account the non- $1/v$  cross section behavior by rather randomly inserting Westcott's  $g(T_n)$  factor in the Høgdahl expression, where  $T_n$  is the Maxwellian neutron temperature. Although the proof of validity of this extension was based on empirical and hardly on scientific arguments, it can nevertheless be regarded as a valid approach –as shown in the present paper where it is derived from the Stoughton-Halperin convention by introducing a few justifiable approximations. Hence, it can be smoothly implemented in the Kayzero software and in other concentration calculation programs while yielding for the  $1/v$  and non- $1/v$   $(n,\gamma)$  reactions concentration results which are accurate to acceptable, respectively. This is a promising development in the context of the general applicability of the NAA  $k_0$  standardization.

- [1] A. Simonits et al., JRC 24 (1975) 31.
- [2] R.W. Stoughton and J. Halperin, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 15 (1963) 314.
- [3] A. Simonits et al., JRC 60 (1980) 461.
- [4] O. T. Høgdahl, Rept MMPP-226-1, Dec. 1962.
- [5] F. De Corte et al., JRC. 3 (1969) 205.
- [6] T. B. Ryves, Metrologia 5 (1969) 119.
- [7] F. De Corte et al., JRNC 113 (1987) 145.
- [8] C.H. Westcott, Rept CRRP-960/AECL, reprint 1962.
- [9] F. De Corte et al., JRNC 179 (1994) 93.
- [10] R. Van Sluijs, <http://www.kayzero.com/>
- [11] R. Van Sluijs et al., 6th  $k_0$  Users Workshop, Budapest 2013, JRNC in press.

**Primary author:** Dr DE CORTE, Frans ((ex) Ghent University, Belgium and Research Foundation, Flanders)

**Presenter:** Dr DE CORTE, Frans ((ex) Ghent University, Belgium and Research Foundation, Flanders)

**Session Classification:** Nuclear Analytical Methods 2

**Track Classification:** Nuclear Analytical Methods