



17th Radiochemical Conference

11 – 16th May 2014, Mariánské Lázně, Czech Republic

c/o Department of Nuclear Chemistry, Czech Technical University in Prague, Břehová 7, 115 19 Prague 1, Czech Republic

Dear Colleague,

the Organising Committee of the 16th Radiochemical Conference appreciates your kind co-operation in reviewing the papers submitted for publication in the Conference Proceedings (Select Papers) in the Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry (JRNC). You will get a printed manuscript at the Conference (if available), but then the whole reviewing process will be managed electronically. You will get access to manuscripts that have been assigned to you for the review on the INDICO web page. Then you can download the particular manuscripts (see instructions below). To facilitate your task, we have developed an evaluation form which is given below. We would be grateful if you could answer the indicated questions in the evaluation form, write additional comments to the authors on a separate sheet and upload the scanned review to the INDICO web page (see instructions below). Make sure, please, that similar papers on related topics published recently in JRNC have been cited in the References as well as the results appropriately discussed. In the case that either minor or major revisions are needed, the manuscript will be sent back to author(s) together with your comments, your anonymity being preserved. We would like to encourage you to correct also English errors in the manuscript, especially as regards English formulations that could cause scientific misunderstanding. However, papers with very poor English should be classified for major revision with recommendations to authors to have them corrected by a native speaker. We would appreciate if you strictly stick to the JRNC standards in your reviews to help us in selecting a maximum of 75 worthy/best papers allotted for publication in the conference proceedings. The final decision whether or not to publish a manuscript will be taken by the Editor-in-Chief of JRNC. The deadline for returning of the review is 30th June 2014. To be able to publish the Conference proceedings timely, we kindly ask you to adhere to this deadline. We thank you very much for your help.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,


Jan John
General Chairman


Jan Kučera
Chairman, Editorial Board

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1. Is the abstract and title informative | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Partly | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OK |
| 2. Originality and/or novelty of concept or approach | <input type="checkbox"/> None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Some | <input type="checkbox"/> Superior |
| 3. Applicability and usability | <input type="checkbox"/> Useless | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Conditional | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant |
| 4. Method calibrated and validated (when appropriate) | <input type="checkbox"/> Neither | <input type="checkbox"/> No validation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Both OK |
| 5. Accuracy and soundness of conclusions | <input type="checkbox"/> Poor | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Satisfactory | <input type="checkbox"/> Excellent |
| 6. Clarity and overall length* | <input type="checkbox"/> Poor | <input type="checkbox"/> Wordy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OK |
| 7. Quality and quantity of references | <input type="checkbox"/> Inadequate | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Missing some | <input type="checkbox"/> OK |
| 8. Tables | <input type="checkbox"/> Too many | <input type="checkbox"/> Missing some | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OK |
| 9. Figures | <input type="checkbox"/> Too many | <input type="checkbox"/> Missing some | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OK |
| 10. Are figures of high quality for reproduction | <input type="checkbox"/> No | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OK |

* The maximum length of a contributed or plenary/invited paper is approximately 20.000 or 30.000 characters (including spaces), respectively, including tables and references, plus a reasonable number of figures.

N.B. One normalised page (30 lines per 60 characters including spaces) corresponds to 1.800 characters.

Overall recommendation:

- ☐ Excellent paper
☐ Very good paper
☒ Fair paper
☐ Unacceptable paper

Revisions needed:

- ☐ No revisions
☒ Minor revisions
☐ Major revisions
☐ To be rejected